# Computing with congruence subgroups of linear groups

# Dane Flannery (joint with Alla Detinko and Alexander Hulpke)

Previously we realized strong approximation computationally for (finitely generated) dense groups  $H \leq \Gamma(n, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\Gamma = SL$  or Sp.

That is, we showed how to determine all congruence images  $\varphi_{\rho}(H)$  of H modulo the maximal ideals  $\rho$  of  $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/\mu] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $H \leq \Gamma(n, R)$ .

Now we discuss algorithms for structural investigation of such H.

Again for convenience restrict to dense input  $H \leq SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ; however, the algorithms work for input dense  $H \leq \Gamma(n, \mathbb{Q})$  generally.

### The congruence subgroup property

 $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  have very different normal subgroup structure.

For  $\Gamma_n := \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $n, m \ge 2$ , let  $\varphi_m : \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_m)$  be the reduction modulo m congruence homomorphism.

Then  $\Gamma_{n,m} := \ker \varphi_m$  on  $\Gamma_n$  is the principal congruence subgroup (PCS) of level m in  $\Gamma_n$ . Note that  $\Gamma_{n,b} \leq \Gamma_{n,a} \Leftrightarrow a | b$ .

A subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  that contains some PCS is called a *congruence subgroup*.

Each congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  has finite index: it contains a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  with quotient  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_m)$  for some m.

Conversely, must finite-index  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  be a congruence subgroup?

This question was raised long ago. If the answer is 'yes', then  $\Gamma_n$  has the congruence subgroup property (CSP).

 $\Gamma_2$  does not have the CSP.

This was known to Klein. E.g., for large r the simple group Alt(r) is not a quotient of any  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_m)$ ; whereas Alt(r) is a quotient of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Note that  $\Gamma_2$  is virtually free—i.e., it has a free subgroup of finite index  $(\Gamma_{2,2} = \langle -1_n, H \rangle$  where  $H = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$  is free of rank 2)—whereas  $\Gamma_n$  for n > 2 is not virtually free.

Also note that there are implemented algorithms to decide whether a given finite-index subgroup of  $\Gamma_2$  is a congruence subgroup.

However:

#### Theorem

If n > 2 then  $\Gamma_n$  has the CSP.

Independent proofs were given by Mennicke (1965), and by Bass, Milnor, Serre (1967; they proved that  $Sp(n,\mathbb{Z})$  for n > 2 also has the CSP). This theorem is actually a consequence of the following.

### Theorem

For  $m \geq 2$ , let  $E_{n,m}$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  generated by all transvections  $t_{i,j}(m)$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\Gamma_{n,m}$  is the normal closure of  $E_{n,m}$  in  $\Gamma_n$ .

Clearly  $\Gamma_{n,m}$  contains the normal closure: each  $t_{i,j}(m)$ , the matrix with m in position (i, j), 1s down the main diagonal, and 0s elsewhere, is in  $\Gamma_{n,m}$ .

### **Computing the level**

### Proposition

Finding the level of a PCS in any given congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  is decidable.

**Proof.**  $\Gamma_n$  has a known finite presentation. Let  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  be a congruence subgroup, so H is finitely generated. Assume that H is given by a finite generating set. Express each generator of H as a word in generators of  $\Gamma_n$ . Compute  $c = |\Gamma_n : H|$  by coset enumeration. Let  $K = \bigcap_{g \in \Gamma_n} gHg^{-1}$ , the core of H; so  $K \trianglelefteq \Gamma_n$  and  $|\Gamma_n : K|$  divides m := c!. Hence  $t_{i,j}(m) =$  $t_{i,j}(1)^m \in K$ , implying that  $E_{n,m} \leq K$ . Then H contains the  $\Gamma_n$ -normal closure  $\Gamma_{n,m}$  of  $E_{n,m}$ . Two principal congruence subgroups generate a congruence subgroup; the intersection of any two of them is a PCS.

# Proposition

Let 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N}$$
, and put  $d = \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ ,  $l = \operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$ . Then

(i) 
$$\Gamma_{n,a}\Gamma_{n,b} = \Gamma_{n,d};$$

(ii) 
$$\Gamma_{n,a} \cap \Gamma_{n,b} = \Gamma_{n,l}$$
.

By (i),  $\exists$  a unique maximal PCS in any congruence subgroup H of  $\Gamma_n$ : the PCS of  $\Gamma_n$  of least level in H; it contains every PCS contained in H.

Say that a congruence subgroup has level  $\ell$  if its maximal PCS has level  $\ell$ .

**Main problem:** compute the level of a given congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$ .

The solution of this problem is connected to the main problem of the previous lecture, and thus to SAT.

#### Lemma

If k and m are coprime then  $\varphi_k$  surjects  $\Gamma_{n,m} \leq \Gamma_n$  onto  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ .

**Proof.** Use that *m* is invertible modulo *k* and the fact (again) that  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_k)$  is generated by transvections  $t_{i,j} = t_{i,j}(1)$ .

So a congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  surjects onto SL(n, p) modulo almost all primes p. By SAT ( $\equiv$  density for finitely generated subgroups of  $\Gamma_n$ ):

Each congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  is dense.

Let  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  be a congruence subgroup, of level  $\ell$ . Recall: by running PrimesForDense, we can compute the set  $\Pi(H)$  of primes p such that  $\varphi_p(H) \neq \operatorname{SL}(n, p)$ .

By the lemma, if k is a prime not dividing  $\ell$ , then  $\varphi_k(H) = \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ . So, denoting the set of prime divisors of  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $\pi(r)$ :

 $\Pi(H) \subseteq \pi(\ell).$ 

We have almost a full converse.

Theorem (DFH, 2018)

Let  $n \geq 3$  and let  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  be a congruence subgroup, of level  $\ell$ . Then  $\pi(\ell) \setminus \{2\} \subseteq \Pi(H)$ .

The proof of this theorem is long. It uses knowledge of the subgroup structure of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$  for primes p. We also need a theorem of Holt on simple sections of finite classical groups.

Note that we can decide when  $\ell$  is even, and there is a version of the above theorem in degree 2 (DFH, 2023). So for all degrees  $n \ge 2$ :

If  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  is a congruence subgroup, of level  $\ell$ , then  $\pi(\ell)$  can be found once  $\Pi(H)$  is known.

To explain how the above may be turned into an algorithm to compute the level of a given congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$ , we make the following definition, for any  $H \leq \Gamma_n$ .

$$\delta_H(m) := |\Gamma_n : \Gamma_{n,m}H|,$$

i.e.,  $\delta_H(m) = |SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_m) : \varphi_m(H)|$  can be computed in  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_m)$ .

### Lemma (DFH, 2018)

Suppose that  $\delta_H(kp^a) = \delta_H(kp^{a+1})$  for p prime,  $a \ge 1$ , and  $p \nmid k$ . Then

(i) 
$$\delta_H(kp^b) = \delta_H(kp^a) \ \forall b \ge a;$$

(ii) 
$$\delta_H(lp^b) = \delta_H(lp^a) \ \forall b \ge a \text{ and multiples } l \text{ of } k \text{ s.t. } \pi(l) = \pi(k).$$

As noted,  $\Pi(H)$  gives the set  $\pi(\ell)$  of all primes dividing the level  $\ell$  of a congruence subgroup  $H \leq \Gamma_n$ .

The above lemma leads to the main idea of our level algorithm:

- Grow exponents on each prime  $p \mid \ell$  as  $\delta_H$ -values (fixing other prime divisors of  $\ell$ ) increase.
- The exact *p*-power dividing  $\ell$  is reached as soon as  $\delta_H$ -values stabilize. (Proof of this claim uses the above lemma. See the theorem below.)

### $\texttt{LevelMaxPCS}(X, \mathcal{S})$

INPUT: a generating set X for  $H \leq \Gamma_n$ , a set S of primes. OUTPUT: an integer k.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{For each } p \in \mathcal{S} \\ \nu_p := 1; \ z_p := \text{the product of all primes in } \mathcal{S} \setminus \{p\}. \\ \text{While } \delta_H(p^{\nu_p+1} \cdot z_p) > \delta_H(p^{\nu_p} \cdot z_p) \\ \nu_p \leftarrow \nu_p + 1. \end{array}$ 

Return k := the product of all  $p^{\nu_p}$  for  $p \in S$ .

# Theorem (DFH, 2018)

If  $H = \langle X \rangle$  is a congruence subgroup of level  $\ell$  in  $\Gamma_n$ , then LevelMaxPCS with input X and  $S = \pi(\ell)$  terminates, returning  $\ell$ .

All computation for LevelMaxPCS is in groups over finite rings  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . For this,  $\exists$  a standard reduction to prime-power m (implicit in earlier proofs).

Let  $m = m_1 \cdots m_t$ ,  $m_i$  powers of distinct primes. Define  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{Z}_m \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$  by  $\alpha(a) = (a_1, \ldots, a_t)$  where  $a_i \equiv a \mod m_i$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem,  $\alpha$  is a ring isomorphism.

#### Lemma

The above map  $\alpha$  extends to a ring isomorphism from  $Mat(n, \mathbb{Z}_m)$  onto  $Mat(n, \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus Mat(n, \mathbb{Z}_{m_t})$ , which restricts to group isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_m) \to \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_{m_t})$$

and

$$\operatorname{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_m) \to \operatorname{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_{m_t}).$$

Congruence subgroups are dense. In the opposite direction we have the following deep result, another consequence of strong approximation (see Theorem 2, p. 391 of *Subgroup growth* by Lubotzky & Segal).

### Theorem

If  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  is finitely generated and dense, then the intersection of all congruence subgroups of  $\Gamma_n$  that contain H is also a congruence subgroup.

So the dense group H has a *congruence closure*:  $\exists$  a congruence subgroup cl(H) of  $\Gamma_n$  such that  $H \leq cl(H)$  and  $cl(H) \leq C$  for every congruence subgroup C containing H.

#### Lemma

Let H be a finitely generated dense subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$ . Then

(i) 
$$cl(H) = \Gamma_{n,\ell}H$$
 where  $\ell$  is the level of  $cl(H)$ ;

(ii)  $\Pi(\operatorname{cl}(H)) = \Pi(H).$ 

Say that dense finitely generated  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  has level  $\ell$  if cl(H) has level  $\ell$ .

# Theorem (DFH, 2023)

LevelMaxPCS with input finitely generated dense  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  and the set of primes S dividing the level of cl(H) returns the level of H.

Since  $\Pi(cl(H)) = \Pi(H)$ , after running PrimesForDense on H we can compute S from  $\Pi(H)$  as before.

# Arithmetic subgroups

Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group. If  $H \leq G \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ has finite index in each of H and  $G \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ , then H is an *arithmetic* subgroup of G. In particular, finite-index subgroups of  $\Gamma_n$  are arithmetic.

Note that finite-index subgroups of  $\Gamma_n$  are dense.

Suppose that  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  is finitely generated dense, and we have computed the level  $\ell$  of H using LevelMaxPCS and PrimesForDense. If  $\delta_H(\ell) = |\Gamma_n : \operatorname{cl}(H)| = |\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \varphi_\ell(H)|$  is not big, then to test

whether H is arithmetic, we are encouraged to attempt coset enumeration.

On the other hand, if  $|\Gamma_n : cl(H)|$  is small, but coset enumeration for H in  $\Gamma_n$  fails to terminate, then we might suspect that H is *thin*: dense and of infinite index in  $\Gamma_n$ .

It is unknown whether arithmeticity testing is decidable in general. It is certainly semidecidable (coset enumeration confirms arithmeticity of H if H is indeed arithmetic).

Arithmeticity testing algorithms exist in special cases, e.g., for input subgroups of solvable Q-groups; see de Graaf, Detinko, Flannery (2015).

Suppose that  $H \leq \Gamma_n$  for  $n \geq 3$  is known to be arithmetic, by whatever means; so H is dense.

By CSP, H is a congruence subgroup. If we have computed the level  $\ell$  of H = cl(H), then other problems for H can be solved.

E.g., membership testing (easy:  $g \in \Gamma_n$  is in  $H \Leftrightarrow \varphi_\ell(g) \in \varphi_\ell(H)$ ), and the orbit-stabilizer problem for H acting on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  (DFH 2015).

# Implementation and experimentation

As noted, computing with congruence images  $\varphi_m(H)$  in  $GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_m)$  can be reduced to the case of m a power of a prime p.

For computing in  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$ , p prime, a 'trivial Fitting' method is used; as a main step this factors out the solvable radical of  $\varphi_{p^k}(H)$ .

LevelMaxPCS and associated procedures have been implemented and tested in GAP by exhaustive experimentation. For GAP code see Alexander's github page https://github.com/hulpke/arithmetic

Experiments are fully discussed in, e.g., DFH (2015, 2018, 2023).

See the talks next week by Alexander and Alla Detinko for more details.

#### References

- H. Bass, J. Milnor, J. and J.-P. Serre, Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for SL<sub>n</sub> (n ≥ 3) and Sp<sub>2n</sub> (n ≥ 2), Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 33 (1967).
- J. L. Mennicke, Finite factor groups of the unimodular group, Ann. of Math. (2) 81 (1965).
- A. Lubotzky and D. Segal, Subgroup growth, Birkhäuser, 2003.
- A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and A. Hulpke, Algorithms for arithmetic groups with the congruence subgroup property, J. Algebra 421 (2015).
- A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and A. Hulpke, Zariski density and computing in arithmetic groups, Math. Comp. 87 (2018).
- A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, and A. Hulpke, Zariski density and computing with *S*-integral groups, J. Algebra 624 (2023).