

# Effective fractal dimension theory: exploring the extreme cases

Elvira Mayordomo

Universidad de Zaragoza, Iowa State University

August 21st 2017

# Effective dimension

- Lutz defines effective dimension as a generalization of the classical notion of fractal dimension
- This gives very **robust concepts**, they can be defined using
  - measure theory
  - gambling
  - information theory
- **Resource-bounded versions** are natural and useful quantitative tools
- Effectivization of Hausdorff dimension gives a **partial randomness concept**

# This mini course

## 0. **Introduction of effective dimension**

1. Resource-bounded Hausdorff dimension for Complexity Classes
2. Compression and dimension for low resource bounds. Very effective construction of a normal sequence
3. Looking back at fractal geometry, other metric spaces

Warning: references mostly at the end of each lecture

# Dimension in fractal geometry

- Hausdorff dimension is defined in every metric space  $X$
- Every set  $A \subseteq X$  is associated a dimension  $s \in [0, \infty)$
- It is a powerful quantitative tool:
  - “Probabilistic” method
    - $\dim(A) > 0$  implies  $A \neq \emptyset$ ;  $\dim(A^c) < \dim(X)$  implies  $A \neq \emptyset$
    - Abundance proofs ( $\dim(A) > 0$  is far stronger than  $A \neq \emptyset$ )
    - New hypothesis (Assume  $\dim(A) > 0$  and prove results that did not seem to follow from weaker hypothesis)
- In Euclidean space, this concept coincides with our intuition that smooth curves have dimension 1 and smooth surfaces have dimension 2, but from its introduction in 1918 Hausdorff noted that many sets have noninteger dimension, what he called “fractional dimension”
- In the 1980s Tricot and Sullivan independently developed a dual of Hausdorff dimension called packing dimension

# Algorithmic randomness

- Can we generate randomness?
- Can we quantify randomness?
- What can we compute using randomness?

# Definitions of algorithmic randomness: three approaches

- **the measure theory approach:** Abundance/typicality. Random sequences should not have effectively rare properties (von Mises, 1919, finally Martin-Löf 1966)
- **the gambler's approach:** Unpredictability. A betting strategy can exploit rare patterns. Random sequences should be unpredictable. (Solomonoff, 1961, Schnorr, 1975, Levin 1970)
- **the information theory approach:** Uncompressibility. Random sequences should not be compressible (i.e., easily describable) (Kolmogorov, Levin, Chaitin 1960-1970's)

## Partial randomness: Effective dimension

- **Effectivization of Hausdorff dimension** gives a partial randomness concept
- Martin-Löf random sequences have effective dimension 1
- **Every sequence** (and set of sequences) has an effective dimension between 0 and 1 (end of nonmeasurability)
- Robust concept: can be defined in terms of gambling and Kolmogorov complexity/compressibility ratio
- Effective fractal dimension is a measure of information content providing the typicalness and predictability intuitions

# Computational Complexity: resource-bounds on randomness

- **Lutz resource-bounded measure and randomness:** it can be adapted to each Complexity Class to have a meaningful/useful concept of effective measure/randomness
- Very low resource-bounds still give meaningful concepts
- Normality corresponds to constant memory randomness (or finite-state randomness)
- In some interesting cases it is definable using both prediction and compression (pspace, FS)
- It inherits non measurability issues from Martin-Löf approach

# Computational Complexity: resource-bounded dimension

- **Lutz resource-bounded dimension:** it can be adapted to each Complexity Class to have a meaningful/useful concept of effective dimension
- Very low resource-bounds still give meaningful concepts
- Normality corresponds to constant memory dimension 1 (or maximal finite-state dimension)
- In most interesting cases it is definable using both prediction and compression
- Every set is assigned an effective dimension

Let us move to definitions ...

## Our notation for Cantor space

- For  $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet,  $\Sigma^*$  is the set of finite sequences over  $\Sigma$  ( $\{0, 1\}^*$ )
- $\{0, 1\}^\infty$  is the set of infinite binary sequences
- For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$ ,  $x \upharpoonright n$  the the length  $n$  finite prefix of  $x$
  
- In Computational Complexity we will identify a problem/language  $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  with its characteristic (infinite) sequence  $\chi_A \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$
- Otherwise we may be interested in the real number in  $[0, 1]$  represented by each  $x \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$  (the number with binary representation  $0.x$ ) **the choice of alphabet can be relevant**

# Lutz gambling characterization of dimension in Cantor space

- For  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , an  $s$ -supergale is a function  $d : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$

$$d(w) \geq \frac{d(w0) + d(w1)}{2^s}$$

- The success set of an  $s$ -supergale  $d$  is

$$S^\infty[d] = \left\{ x \in \{0, 1\}^\infty \mid \limsup_n d(x \upharpoonright n) = \infty \right\}$$

Theorem

For every  $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\infty$ ,

$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = \inf \{s \mid \text{there is an } s\text{-supergale } d \text{ such that } A \subseteq S^\infty[d]\}$

# Variants

- Use  $\liminf$  in the success definition:  
 $S_{\text{str}}^{\infty}[d] = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^{\infty} \mid \liminf_n d(x \upharpoonright n) = \infty\}$  to characterize packing dimension
- Use martingale growth rates in the place of gales
- gales or supergales

# Constructive dimension in Cantor space

The constructive dimension of  $A$  is

$$\text{cdim}(A) = \inf \left\{ s \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a constructive } s\text{-supergale } d \\ \text{such that } A \subseteq S^\infty[d] \end{array} \right\}$$

Constructive means lower semi-computable, that is  $d$  is constructive if there is an exactly computable function  $\hat{d} : \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  with the following two properties.

- For all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\hat{d}(w, t) \leq \hat{d}(w, t + 1) < d(w)$ .
- For all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{d}(w, t) = d$ .

# This mini course

0. Introduction of effective dimension
1. **Resource-bounded Hausdorff dimension for Complexity Classes**
2. Compression and dimension for low resource bounds. Very effective construction of a normal sequence
3. Looking back at fractal geometry, other metric spaces

# Resource-bounded dimensions

Let  $\Delta$  be a class of functions (e.g., polynomial time computable, polynomial space computable)

The  $\Delta$ -dimension of  $A$  is

$$\dim_{\Delta}(A) = \inf \left\{ s \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there is an } s\text{-supergale } d \in \Delta \\ \text{such that } A \subseteq S^{\infty}[d] \end{array} \right\}$$

- Choosing different  $\Delta$  we restrict gales to different classes of computable strategies
- With gales computable by a finite automata we get  $\dim_{\text{FS}}$
- $\dim_{\text{p}}$  corresponds to computable in polynomial time
- $\dim_{\text{pspace}}$  means polynomial space computable gales

Each of this effective dimensions is “the right one” for a set of sequences (complexity class)

# Complexity classes

Each r-b dimension is the right one for a complexity class

- $E = \text{DTIME}(2^{O(n)})$ , we have  $\dim_p(E) = 1$
- $\text{EXP} = \text{DTIME}(2^{n^{O(1)}})$ ,  $p_2$  is  $2^{\text{polylog}}$  time computable, we have  $\dim_{p_2}(\text{EXP}) = 1$
- $\text{ESPACE} = \text{DSPACE}(2^{O(n)})$ , we have  $\dim_{\text{pspace}}(\text{ESPACE}) = 1$
- $\text{EXPSPACE} = \text{DSPACE}(2^{n^{O(1)}})$ ,  $p_2\text{space}$  is  $2^{\text{polylog}}$  space computable, we have  $\dim_{p_2\text{space}}(\text{EXPSPACE}) = 1$
- $\dim_{\text{FS}}(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$

Sometimes we denote  $\dim_p(X \cap E)$  as “dimension in  $E$  of  $X$ ”, etc.

## Some properties of resource-bounded dimension

- $\dim_{\Delta}(X)$  is defined for every set  $X$
- $X \subseteq Y$  implies  $\dim_{\Delta}(X) \leq \dim_{\Delta}(Y)$
- $\dim_{\Delta}(\cup_i X_i) = \sup_i \dim_{\Delta}(X_i)$  for “suitable” effective unions

where  $\dim_{\Delta}$  is any of the effective dimensions

# Uses of effective dimension in complexity

- Abundance proofs
- Probabilistic method
- New hypothesis, new concepts

## A taste of r.b. dimension: Abundance proofs

- The class of sets that (polynomial-time) reduce to a nondense set has p-dimension 0 in Exponential time (E)
- E has p-dimension 1
- **Most sets in Exponential time do not reduce to a nondense set**

## How dense are hard sets for exponential time?

- The most common notions of polynomial time reductions are many-one  $\leq_m^P$  and Turing  $\leq_T^P$
- In between  $\leq_m^P$  and  $\leq_T^P$  is a wide variety of polynomial-time reductions of different strengths
- Reductions are often used to prove hardness for a complexity class, we will look at E and EXP

$$\text{DENSE} = \left\{ L \mid \exists \epsilon \forall n |L^{\leq n}| > 2^{n^\epsilon} \right\}$$

- All known hard problems for E and EXP are dense
- **Is every hard set dense?**

# Density of hard sets

Known:

- (Watanabe 1987) Every hard set for E under the  $\leq_{\log-tt}^P$  reductions is dense
- (Lutz Mayordomo 1994) Every hard set for E under the  $\leq_{n^\alpha-tt}^P$  ( $\alpha < 1/2$ ) reductions is dense
- (Fu 1995, Lutz Zhao 2000) Every hard set for E under the  $\leq_{n^\alpha-T}^P$  ( $\alpha < 1/2$ ) reductions is dense. Every hard set for EXP under the  $\leq_{n^\alpha-T}^P$  ( $\alpha < 1$ ) reductions is dense

Curious contrast E, EXP ...

## Density of hard sets: abundance result

- (Hitchcock 2005, Harkins Hitchcock 2011) improved all previous results by showing the following result

### Theorem

*The  $p$ -dimension of sets that reduce to nondense sets (under  $\leq_{n^{\alpha-T}}^P$  ( $\alpha < 1$ ) reduction) is 0*

- Their proof is quite involved, including:
  - the online mistake-bound model of learning
  - reduction to learnable concepts
  - the set of reducible to learnable concepts has  $p$ -dimension 0
  - sets that reduce to nondense are reducible to learnable classes (monotone disjunctions with few literals)
- Abundance result ( $\dim_p(E) = 1$ ) Most sets in  $E$  do not reduce to nondense sets
- Existence result (probabilistic method) There is a set in  $E$  that does not  $\leq_{n^{\alpha-T}}^P$ -reduce ( $\alpha < 1$ ) to nondense sets
- Consequence: All  $\leq_{n^{\alpha-T}}^P$ -hard sets for  $E$  are dense

## A taste of effective dimension: Probabilistic method

- $\dim_p(\text{absly} - \text{normal}) = 1$  (The set of absolutely normal numbers have polynomial-time dimension 1)
- A real number  $\alpha$  is normal in base  $b$  (Borel 1909) if the base  $b$  representation of  $\alpha$  for every finite sequence  $w$  of base  $b$  digits the asymptotic, empirical frequency of  $w$  in the base- $b$  expansion of  $\alpha$  is  $b^{-|w|}$
- Absolutely-normal number means normal in every base
- The result implies an efficient way of constructing an absolutely normal real number (**constructive probabilistic method**)

I will get back to this in my next lecture

# A taste of effective dimension: new hypothesis

- It is not known whether all NP-hard sets are dense
- If  $\dim_p(\text{NP}) > 0$  then all  $\leq_{n^\alpha - \Gamma}^P$ -hard sets for NP are dense

## A taste of effective dimension: new hypothesis

- MAX3SAT is the problem of computing the number of satisfied clauses in a 3SAT formula
- If  $\dim_p(NP) > 0$  then MAX3SAT is hard to approximate (effective approximation algorithms have performance ratio less than  $7/8$  on a dense set of instances)

## A taste of effective dimension: new hypothesis

- BPP is the class of problems solvable in bounded error probabilistic polynomial time
- **Zero-One law:**  $\dim_{p_2}(\text{BPP}) = 0$  or  $\text{BPP} = \text{EXP}$

## Resource-bounded dimension: changing the scale

- Let  $g : \mathbb{N} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a scale function (a family of gauge functions).
- Usual Hausdorff dimension corresponds to the scale  $g(m, s) = sm$
- For  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , an  $g$ - $s$ -supergale is a function  $d : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$

$$d(w) \geq \frac{d(w0) + d(w1)}{2g(|w|+1, s) - g(|w|, s)}$$

- The success set of an  $g$ - $s$ -supergale  $d$  is

$$S^\infty[d] = \left\{ x \in \{0, 1\}^\infty \mid \limsup_n d(x \upharpoonright n) = \infty \right\}$$

### Theorem

For every  $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\infty$ ,

$$\dim_{\text{H}}^g(A) = \inf \{s \mid \text{there is a } g\text{-}s\text{-supergale } d \text{ such that } A \subseteq S^\infty[d]\}$$

# Resource-bounded dimension: changing the scale

- Related to the classical concept of exact or general dimension
- We consider different scales  $g$  for which  $\dim_p^g(\mathbb{E}) = 1$ ,  
 $\dim_{\text{pspace}}^g(\text{ESPACE}) = 1$
- For certain scales  $g, g'$  it holds that that

$$\dim_{\text{pspace}}^g(\text{SIZE}(2^{\alpha n})) = \alpha$$

$$\dim_{\text{pspace}}^{g'}(\text{SIZE}(2^{n^\alpha})) = \alpha$$

## A taste of effective dimension: small span theorems

- Small span theorems: given a reduction, either the upper or the lower span is small
- For a language  $A$  and a reduction  $r$ , the upper span is

$$P_r^{-1}(A) = \{B \mid A \leq_r^P B\}$$

- For a language  $A$  and a reduction  $r$ , the lower span is

$$P_r(A) = \{B \mid B \leq_r^P A\}$$

### Theorem

*For every  $A$  in  $E$ , either*

$$\dim_p^g(P_m(A)) = 0$$

*or*

$$\dim_p^g(P_m^{-1}(A)) = 0$$

# Open questions on resource-bounded dimension

- What is the  $p$ -dimension of NP?
- Is it possible that  $0 < \dim_p(\text{NP}) < 1$  ?

## Open questions: Partially complete problems

- A problem  $X$  is complete for a class  $C$  if every  $Z \in C$  can be reduced to  $X$
- A problem  $X$  is *partially complete* for a class  $C$  if the set of  $Z \in C$  that can be reduced to  $X$  has nonzero dimension in  $C$ .
- OPEN:
  - Examples of natural partially complete problems
  - Is Graph Isomorphism partially complete for EXP?
  - Are partially complete the same for E and EXP?

## Open questions: Finding sources for BPP

- In certain ways positive dimension can substitute Martin-Löf randomness
- It was known that for each Martin-Lf random  $x$ ,  $\text{BPP} \subseteq \text{P}^x$  (in fact for much lower resource-bounded randomness)
- Can I have  $\text{P}^A = \text{BPP}$  when  $\dim_p(A) > 0$  ?

## Main references

- J. H. Lutz. Dimension in complexity classes. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 32(5):1236-1259, 2003.
- J. H. Lutz. The dimensions of individual strings and sequences. *Information and Computation*, 187(1):49-79, 2003.
- K. B. Athreya, J. M. Hitchcock, J. H. Lutz, and E. Mayordomo. Effective strong dimension in algorithmic information and computational complexity. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 37(3):671-705, 2007.

## Computational Complexity results in this lecture

- R. C. Harkins and J. M. Hitchcock: Dimension, Halfspaces, and the Density of Hard Sets. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 49(3):601-614, 2011.
- J. M. Hitchcock. Online learning and resource-bounded dimension: Winnow yields new lower bounds for hard sets. *SIAM Journal on Computing* , 36(6):1696-1708, 2007.
- J. M. Hitchcock. MAX3SAT is exponentially hard to approximate if NP has positive dimension. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 289(1):861-869, 2002.
- P. Moser. A zero-one subexp-dimension law for BPP. *Information Processing Letters*, 111(9):429-432, 2011.
- J. M. Hitchcock. Small spans in scaled dimension. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 34(1):170-194, 2004.

# Next lecture

0. Introduction of effective dimension
1. Resource-bounded Hausdorff dimension for Complexity Classes
2. **Compression and dimension for low resource bounds.**  
**Very effective construction of a normal sequence**
3. Looking back at fractal geometry, other metric spaces