

Lowness notions in the C.E. Sets

Peter Cholak

September, 2017

Workshop on Classic Computability Theory
Singapore

The End

Thanks!

Happy Birthday Rod!

Main New Result

Theorem

Let \mathbf{e} be any Turing degree such that \mathbf{e} is computably enumerable in $\mathbf{0}'$. Then

- There is a (noncomputable) c.e. set C such that $C' \equiv_T \mathbf{e}$ (Sack Jump Inversion).
- If A is the Dekker deficiency set of C then \bar{A} is semilow₂.

Dekker deficiency set

Let f be the computable 1 – 1 function whose range is C (given to us by the above construction). The Dekker deficiency set is

$$A = \{s : (\exists t > s)[f(t) < f(s)]\}.$$

Lemma

A is c.e., of degree C, and hsimple (so \bar{A} is hyperimmune).

Deficiency sets and hhsimple

Theorem (Shoenfield 1976)

If a deficiency set A has a hhsimple superset H then A is low_2 .

Corollary

There is a nonhigh non low_2 c.e. set A such that A does not have a maximal superset and \overline{A} is semilow $_2$.

Definition

M is maximal iff, for all e , either $W_e \subseteq^* M$ or $M \cup W_e \subseteq^* \omega$.

Sets with maximal supersets

Theorem (Lachlan 1968)

If A (is infinite c.e.) and low_2 then A has a maximal superset, M .

- Since A is nonhigh, A has a true stage enumeration. An enumeration $\{A_s \mid s \in \omega\}$ such that for infinite many s , $a_s = a_s^s$, where $\bar{A}_s = \{a_0^s < a_1^s \dots\}$ and $\bar{A}_s = \{a_0 < a_1 \dots\}$. So, at true stage, $a_s^s = a^s$. (Access to \bar{A} .)
- Since A is low_2 , the set of indexes e such that $\{x \mid x \in W_{e,s}, x \notin A_s, \text{ and } s \text{ is a true stage}\}$ is infinite is computable in $\mathbf{0}''$. (Information.)

An imperfect stream of balls outside of A

Using $\mathbf{0}''$ we can ask if $\{x \mid x \in W_s, x \notin M_s, \text{ and } s \text{ is a true stage}\}$ is infinite. If yes, we are guaranteed for all k there will be stage s such that there at least k balls x where $x \in W_s, x \notin M_s$ and s is a true stage so these x are not in A . But we have no way to bound how long it will take for the $(k + 1)$ th ball to stabilize.

Using this imperfect stream

Infinitely often when we have verification that the set $\{x \mid x \in W_s, x \notin M_s, \text{ and } s \text{ is a true stage}\}$ is infinite, we can dump the balls out in W into M .

We can safely take exactly one action on this stream. We cannot take half and put them into M_1 and the other half into M_2 and hope both these c.e. sets are disjoint and infinite outside A . We cannot divide this imperfect stream into two imperfect streams.

Soare's Result

Definition

The outside of A is denoted $\mathcal{L}(A)$ which is the structure $\{W_e \cup A \mid e \in \omega\}$ under inclusion. \mathcal{E} is the structure $\{W_e \mid e \in \omega\}$ under inclusion.

Note that if $A = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{L}(A) = \mathcal{E}$.

Theorem (Soare)

If A is low then $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and \mathcal{E} are isomorphic.

Question

For which A are $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and \mathcal{E} isomorphic? It was conjectured that A can be any low₂ set.

This question is about lowness notions. If A realizes one of our lowness notions then we want that $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and \mathcal{E} are isomorphic. Since maximal set exists, A must have a maximal superset.

Main New Result, again

Corollary

There is a nonhigh nonlow₂ c.e. set A such that $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is not isomorphic to \mathcal{E} and \overline{A} is semilow₂.

Such an A has a true stages enumeration.

(Soare's) Information lowness or Semilow_2

We want infinitely many balls outside of A .

Definition

B is *semilow*₂ iff $\{e \mid W_e \cap B \text{ is infinite}\} \leq_T \mathbf{0}''$.

If A is low_2 then \overline{A} is semilow_2 . Outside of low , low_2 and nonhigh are our lowness notions are not properties of Turing degrees.

(Soare's) access to \bar{A}

Definition

\bar{A} is *semilow* iff $\{e \mid W_e \cap \bar{A} \neq \emptyset\} \leq_T \mathbf{0}'$.

This a $\Sigma_1^{\bar{A}}$ question. If A is low then this question is Δ_2^0 .

Use semilowness of \bar{A} and the limit lemma to uniformly split ω (or any W_e we know is infinite outside A) into the disjoint union of *finite* sets F_i such that, for all i , $F_i \cap \bar{A}$ is nonempty. At stage s if our approximation of $\mathbf{0}'$ says that the set $(\omega - \bigsqcup_{i < e} F_e) \cap \bar{A}$ is nonempty but $F_e \cap \bar{A}$ is empty, put the element x of ω which enters at stage s into F_e (for the least such e), otherwise x goes into F_s .

The F_i provide finite access to the outside of A . We can put half into M_1 and the other half into M_2 . We can split an infinite stream of balls outside A into 2.

For our imperfect streams we have no finite access nor can we split streams.

Semilow

Theorem (Soare)

If \overline{A} is semilow then $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and \mathcal{E} are (effectively) isomorphic.

Semilow_{1.5}

Definition (Maass)

B is *semilow*_{1.5} iff

$$\{e | W_e \cap B \text{ is infinite}\} \leq_m \{e | W_e \text{ is infinite}\} = INF.$$

Stronger than *semilow*₂, weaker than *semilow*.

Theorem (Maass)

If \bar{A} is *semilow*_{1.5} then $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and \mathcal{E} are isomorphic.

OSP

Definition

Lets assume that W is infinite outside of A . A *sieve* for W over A is an uniform collection of pairwise disjoint c.e. sets, $\{F_i | i \in \omega\}$, such that their union is W and, for all i , $F_i \cap \bar{A}$ is finite but nonempty.

A sieve witnesses that A is not hhsimple.

Lemma

A has osp iff, for all e , a sieve for W_e over A can be found uniformly.

Lemma (Maass)

If \bar{A} is semilow_{1.5} then A has osp.

All streams of balls outside A can be split into 2 such streams uniformly when A has osp.

End of the line

Theorem (Classic Cholak)

If A has osp and \bar{A} is semilow₂ then $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and \mathcal{E} are isomorphic.

Corollary (Main New Result)

There is an A with a true stages enumerations, \bar{A} is semilow₂ and $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is not isomorphic to \mathcal{E} .

True stages cannot replace osp.

The low_2 question

There are low_2 sets without osp.

Question

If A is low_2 are $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and \mathcal{E} are isomorphic?

Likely false. Is there a definable property, P such that $P(\emptyset)$ holds but fails for \overline{A} ? A definable version of the failure to split a stream into two.

Perhaps true? The modern automorphism method needs the finite access and the ability to split a stream into 2. So forced to use Soare's old effective automorphism method and chip sets.

Question

Do all low_2 sets have atomless hhsimple superset?